

Working with Authorities

Finding the balance in the force field of MUSTs, SHOULDs, CANs, SHOULD-NEVERs, CANNOTs

Jacques Schuurman
SURFnet-CERT



Amsterdam, 24 February 2006

Agenda

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- The context
- Some recent "highlights"
- Near future
- Far future
- Conclusion

The context

The SURFnet perspective

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- SURFnet: NREN with a closed user group
- Clients are institutes, so:
 - Legal entities of their own
 - *They* have end users, *we* do not
- SURFnet(-CERT):
 - Defines who are entitled to SURFnet services
 - Sets basic guidelines to what traffic is routed and what traffic is dropped
- Enforces aforementioned rules, both technically as well as legally

The context

The institute's perspective

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- SURFnet: A provider (as good/bad as any other??)
- We are institutes, so:
 - We determine for ourselves what is "good" and what is "bad"
 - We have end users, *they* do not (what do they care about end users anyway!)
- SURFnet(-CERT):
 - Is a pain in the ass and not flexible at all
 - Comes up with new rules and regulations every bl**dy week
- Blocks ports "at will"

The context

The end user's perspective

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- SURFnet: Whos is that? I get Internet access from my school!
- We are academic end users, so:
 - We know for ourselves what is "good" and what is "bad", no interference
 - *We are* end users, *they* do not (what do they care about end users anyway!)
- SURFnet(-CERT):
 - Is a lousy provider (all sorts of nice and handy protocols simply do not work over their network)
- Blocks ports "at will"

The context

The authority's perspective

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- SURFnet: A provider with all the liabilities that go with it
- We are law enforcement, so:
 - We determine what needs to be scrutinised, and how, and when, and why
 - Of course, we do not communicate on this...
- SURFnet(-CERT):
 - Needs to assist us in any possible way, at any given time, 24x7, without undue delay
 - Is, in the legal sense, no more than an ordinary citizen, with no additional competence or capacity

Recent “highlights”

The matter of tapping capacity

Agenda

The context

Recent “highlights”

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- Telecom regulations: all “public” providers must maintain tapping facilities for the Law Enforcement authorities (exclusive access)
- The OPTA (Telecom Regulator’s Office) is the authority that determines whether a provider is “public”
- SURFnet -> OPTA: “We are not a public network” (mid 2003)
- OPTA -> SURFnet: (so far)

Recent “highlights”

Cooperation in a criminal investigation

Agenda

The context

Recent “highlights”

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- SURFnet connected institute hacked (big time)
- SURFnet-CERT to assist in initial investigation of the case
- Connected institute to file a case with the police (entrance into Law Enforcement circuit)
- Law Enforcement bodies are seeking the assistance of SURFnet-CERT in further instigation and forensics
- Complicated (and delicate) relationships between LE bodies and to the “outside world” unrevealed to us
- What **MUST** we do, what **SHOULD** we do, what **CAN** we NOT do? Potential liability works towards all the parties involved

Near future

Agenda
The context
Recent "highlights"
Near future
Far future
Conclusions

- More confusion:
 - Unclear legislation
 - Untested legislation
 - Inconsistent legislation
- Finding the adequate positions and roles
 - Providers vs. end users
 - Copyright enforcers vs. providers
 - LE bodies vs. providers
 - LE bodies amongst themselves

Far future

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- Internet (whichever generation) to become normal public infrastructure
- Better fitting and genuine legislation and rules adequate for this type of environment (including all types of transactions)
- More experience with the real application of applicable laws

Conclusions

Agenda

The context

Recent "highlights"

Near future

Far future

Conclusions

- The cyberworld has some specific different characteristics (relative to the real world), relevant to the perception of how law enforcement should look like
- Now, relevant bodies working with the law and law enforcement are (slightly) confused and look for a modus vivendi
- Therefore, on short term we should continue to expect operational clashes, silly laws, and organisational misunderstandings
- On a longer-term perspective, this will gradually converge to a sustainable environment where a balance is found

Good news: social event sponsored!

SURFnet  CERT

GOV <  > CERT.NL